

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,343	10/30/2003	Yukako Taka	03657/HG	5393	
1933 7	590 05/19/2006	EXAMINER			
•	HOLTZ, GOODMAI	SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM			
220 Fifth Aver 16TH Floor	iue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10001-7708		1774		
		DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/699,343	TAKA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Betelhem Shewareged	1774	

	Betelhem Shewareged	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	•	in the final rejection, wh	sichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducina or simplifyina	the issues for
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues to
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 5-13</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 3-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>14-27</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•		-
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s). <u>3/28/06</u>	
13. Other:	_ PM		
	BETELHEM SHEWAREGED) 	

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The hydrophilic resin of Maeda is not limited to the PVA-420 disclosed in Example 1. The hydrophilic resin of Maeda are listed in the paragraph bridging col. 7 and col. 8, and the listed hydrophilic resins are not limited to resins having no side chains. The resins may include a functional group that is capable of being crosslinked by irradiation. The resins may have another side chain. The resins may be ion modified or derivative thereof.